Here is a comparison between the **Senate Finance Committee tax title** and **H.R. 1** (House-passed bill), focusing on impacts on nonprofits and charitable giving:

Key Provisions Comparison: Senate vs. House (H.R. 1)

Policy Area	Senate Finance Committee Proposal	House H.R. 1 Proposal	Impact/Notes
Nonitemizer Charitable Deduction	✓ Permanent \$1,000 (individual) / \$2,000 (joint), with 0.5% AGI floor for itemizers (Sec. 70424 & 70425)	✓ Temporary \$150 (individual) / \$300 (joint) for 2025–2028 (Sec. 110112)	Senate version is more generous and permanent, closer to sector asks (like the Charitable Act)
AGI Limit for Cash Contributions	✓ would extend 60% contribution limit	➤ Omits 60% AGI limit; reverts to 50%	Senate extends 60% AGI limit, a sector priority
Cap on Itemized Deductions	35% cap on itemized deduction value (Sec. 70111)	35% cap on itemized deductions (Sec. 110011)	Reduces tax benefit of giving for high-income donors; new disincentive in both bills
Excise Tax on Private Foundations	X No new tax in Senate draft	New graduated excise tax: 1.39% up to 10% based on asset levels (Sec. 112022)	Senate is favorable to foundations; House proposal could raise \$15.9B over 10 years
University Endowment Tax	⚠ Graduated tax: up to 8% based on endowment/student ratio (Sec. 70415)	Graduated tax: 1.4% up to 21% based on endowment/student ratio (Sec. 112021)	Senate has significant increase over current law but still less aggressive than House bill, which proposes up to 21%.
Transportation Fringe Benefits (UBIT)	X Silent	Reinstates UBIT for parking and transportation benefits, exempting churches (Sec. 112024)	Senate avoids this nonprofit cost burden
Name and Logo Royalties (UBIT)	X Silent	⚠ Subjects licensing income to UBIT (Sec. 112025) — this was	Again, Senate avoids new taxes that would hit branding revenue

Policy Area	Senate Finance Committee Proposal	House H.R. 1 Proposal	Impact/Notes
		ultimately removed before final vote.	
Corporate Charitable Giving Floor	✓ Adds 1% AGI floor on charitable deductions by corporations (Sec. 70426)	✓ Same provision (Sec. 112028)	Both include this, raising \$16.6B over 10 years; disincentive to corporate giving
Executive Compensation Tax	▲ Expands current excise tax to more employees of nonprofits (Sec. 70416)	✓ Also expands scope (Sec. 112020)	Both versions expand reach beyond current top-5 limit; may reduce nonprofit hiring competitiveness

* Bottom Line:

- The Senate Finance bill is more favorable to the charitable sector than H.R. 1. It includes a stronger, permanent non-itemizer deduction, omits several harmful tax hikes (like new UBIT rules and foundation excise taxes), and aligns more closely with asks in letters sent by L18, the Forum, The Nonprofit Alliance, and AFP.
- The House bill (H.R. 1) contains multiple sector-opposed provisions, such as:
 - o Expanded excise taxes on foundations and higher education endowments
 - New UBIT on transportation benefits
 - o Weak temporary non-itemizer deduction